

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATI	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,694		07/13/2001	Narihiro Tahara	1403-0212P	9156	
2292	7590	08/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	CH STEWA OX 747	ART KOLASCH & B	WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I			
		H, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1714			
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			diantian Na					
		Apr	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			903,694	TAHARA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit	()			
	TI MANUAL DATE CHI		arzyna Wyrozebski	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress /			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN IT IS CO	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will appl y will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thin y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 July 20</i>	04.					
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2b)⊠ This action						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abeyaı	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected t				, ,			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in A cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment	:(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	. =		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	•	_ ` `	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)			

Art Unit: 1714

Ť

In view of applicant's request for continuing prosecution following non-final office action is issued.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under

Art Unit: 1714

37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHOLL (US 6,025,415) in view of YAGI (JP 2000178379).

The prior art of SCHOLL discloses composition for tire treads (col. 7, line 56). The composition comprises rubber that includes a diene rubber and fillers (col. 5, lines 40-50). The fillers are selected from silicas, silicates, glass fibers and metal oxides and hydroxides such as alumina hydroxide (col. 5, lines 1-31).

Additives include plasticizers, tackifiers, processing oils wherein the latter one is also a softener (col. 6, lines 55-60 and col. 2, lines 52-57). The specification of SCHOLL further teaches use of carbon black (col. 6, lines 41-51) and silane coupling agents.

The difference between the present invention and the disclosure of SCHOLL is recitation of particle size and amount of aluminum hydroxide in tire tread.

With respect to the above argument, the prior art of YAGI discloses composition for tire tread comprising diene rubber, silica, carbon black and aluminum hydroxide. In the composition of YAGI aluminum hydroxide is utilized in amount of 5-30 pbw and has particle size in a range of 0.1-10 microns.

Aluminum hydroxide having properties as disclosed in the abstract of YAGI is suitable for use in tire treads to provide tread with excellent grip resistance.

Art Unit: 1714

In the light of the above disclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize the aluminum hydroxide of YAGI in the composition of SCHOLL and thereby obtain tire tread of the present invention. Selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports *prima facie* obviousness. *Sinclair & Carroll Co vs. Interchemical Corp.* 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Applicant's arguments with respect to the final rejection will not be addressed since the rejections of record as presented in this office action are different.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Primary Examine Art Unit 1714

August 18, 2004